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Measurement Uncertainty for Weight Determinations in Seized Drug Analysis

Introduction

The following demonstrates the application of an uncertainty budget approach for weight determinations. The factors described in Part IVC, Section 4, are considered. It is assumed that the value being reported is the conventional mass and final results are rounded to the precision of the balance. The term “weight” is used interchangeably with “conventional mass,” the quantity typically reported. Definitions for the statistical terms used can be found in the glossary or references listed below. The references also contain additional examples and detailed information regarding estimation of uncertainty.

Multiple approaches exist for estimating combined uncertainties. In these examples, the elements used to calculate the standard uncertainties contain correlated and uncorrelated factors. The methods illustrated represent a conservative approach in which the uncertainty is likely to be overestimated.

The following examples should not be directly applied to methodology used without first considering the specific purpose of a method and its relevant operational environment and the operational capabilities and parameters of the balance.

A Example 1:

Scenario: A laboratory must determine the net weight of a white powder, which appears to weigh approximately 30 g, received in a plastic bag. The decision is made to weigh the material using a balance with a maximum capacity of 3100 g. The following conditions apply: the operator is competent on the use of the balance; the balance is calibrated and certified as per established laboratory protocols; the balance is being used above the defined minimum balance load; and the balance is performing within the manufacturers’ specifications. The balance operates in a controlled environment using a draft shield with ambient temperature varying ±5 °C (range of 10 degrees total).

The weight is determined as follows: A weigh vessel is placed on the balance and tared. It is then removed from the balance and the powder is transferred to the vessel, which is placed on the balance and reweighed. The taring of the weighing vessel and the weighing are considered as two weighing events.

The net weight obtained for the powder is 30.03 grams.
A.1 Factors contributing to weight measurement uncertainty

The factors considered in estimating the measurement uncertainty include readability; repeatability; linearity; buoyancy; sensitivity; uncertainty from balance calibration report; number of weighing events; and sample loss in transfer. The uncertainty associated with sample loss is, for practical purposes, indeterminate.

Buoyancy is considered to be a small systematic error that can contribute as much as 0.1% bias to the weight. Buoyancy is difficult to account for in seized drug cases because the density of the object being weighed must be known. However, for objects that have a lower density than steel (8.0 g/cm³), the bias imparted is always negative and the weight displayed by the balance will be less than the true weight of the object.

Based on the current calibration and performance certification for the balance and given that the balance is operating within specifications, other factors (e.g. environmental, static, corner loading) are deemed insignificant in this example. Laboratories should examine their balances, calibration reports, methods, circumstances, and applications to determine which factors are significant and which are insignificant for their particular application.

The factors deemed significant in this example are expressed in the table to follow.
## A.2 Uncertainty Budget Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Value (x)</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty (u), g</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Index (Relative contribution)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Readability(^b)</td>
<td>0.01 g</td>
<td>(x = \frac{0.01}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.00577)</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>(\frac{0.00577^2}{0.000313} * 100 = 10.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeatability (s)(^c)</td>
<td>0.0101 g</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity(^d)</td>
<td>0.0201 g</td>
<td>(x = \frac{0.0201}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.0116)</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient(^e)</td>
<td>6 ppm/°C (6(\times)10(^{-6}) g/°C)</td>
<td>(x = \frac{6\times10^{-6} g \cdot 10 \cdot C \cdot 30.03 g}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.00104)</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty from balance calibration report ((U, coverage factor (k=2))</td>
<td>0.0131 g</td>
<td>(\frac{U}{k} = \frac{0.0131}{2} = 0.00655)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal ((\sum u_i)):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal ((\sum u_i^2)):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \(\frac{u^2}{k} \sum_i u_i^2 \times 100\) This value is used to determine which terms are significant.

\(^b\) Obtained from the current calibration and performance certification for the balance and assumes that the balance has a single readability range.

\(^c\) Determined empirically in the laboratory.

\(^d\) This value is the maximum permitted deviation across the mass range of the balance.

\(^e\) Value obtained from manufacturer specifications.
A.3 Calculation of combined standard uncertainty

Considering all factors noted above (A.2) as uncorrelated, the combined standard uncertainty per weighing event in this example can be expressed mathematically as:

$$u_c = \sqrt{u(\text{read})^2 + u(\text{repeat})^2 + u(\text{linear})^2 + u(\text{bal cal})^2}$$

where $u$ is the standard uncertainty and $u_c$ is combined standard uncertainty.

The term $u(\text{temperature coefficient})$ is not included in the combined uncertainty due to its minimal relative contribution to the total standard uncertainty.

The combined standard uncertainty is:

$$u_c = \sqrt{(0.00577)^2 + (0.0101)^2 + (0.0116)^2 + (0.00655)^2} = 0.0176 \text{ g}$$

A.4 Calculation of expanded uncertainty

The expanded uncertainty per weighing event ($U$) is expressed mathematically as:

$$U = k \times u_c$$

Using a coverage factor ($k$) = 2 (confidence level of approximately 95%):

$$U = 2 \times 0.0176 \text{ g} = 0.0352 \text{ g}$$

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

$$U_{\text{final}} = U \times \text{number of weighing events}$$

$$U_{\text{final}} = 0.0352 \text{ g} \times 2 = 0.0704 \text{ g}$$

Using a coverage factor ($k$) = 3 (confidence level of approximately 99%):

$$U = 3 \times 0.0176 \text{ g} = 0.0528 \text{ g}$$

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

$$U_{\text{final}} = U \times \text{number of weighing events}$$

$$U_{\text{final}} = 0.0528 \text{ g} \times 2 = 0.1056 \text{ g}$$
A.5 Results

A.5.1 Net Weight: 30.03 g ± 0.07 g (k=2)

A.5.2 Net Weight: 30.03 g ± 0.11 g (k=3)

B Example 2

Scenario: In this example, the measurement uncertainty is calculated using control chart data obtained from a measurement quality assurance process that mimics casework samples as closely as possible. All other conditions are the same as Example 1.

The control chart should capture uncertainty deemed appropriate to the specific laboratory and procedure and could include factors such as environmental conditions, analysts, and sample types. A conservative approach is to select the largest standard deviation if a range of masses is charted.

B.1 Factors contributing to weight measurement uncertainty

As the control chart is well established, it is expected to capture all of the factors described in Example 1 except linearity and balance calibration uncertainty.

B.2 Uncertainty Budget Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Value (x)</th>
<th>Standard uncertainty (u), g</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Index (Relative contribution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control chart standard deviation (s)(^a)</td>
<td>0.0110 g</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity(^b)</td>
<td>0.0201 g</td>
<td>(\frac{x}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{0.0201}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.0116)</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty from balance calibration report (U, coverage factor k=2)</td>
<td>0.0131 g</td>
<td>(\frac{U}{k} = \frac{0.0131}{2} = 0.00655)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal (\(\sum u_n\)): 0.0291

Subtotal (\(\sum (u_n)^2\)): 0.000298

\(^a\) \(s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x-x)^2}{(n-1)}}\)

\(^b\) This value is the maximum permitted deviation across the mass range of the balance.
B.3 Calculation of combined standard uncertainty

Considering all factors noted above (B.2) as uncorrelated, the combined standard uncertainty per weighing event in this example can be expressed mathematically as:

\[ u_c = \sqrt{u(\text{control chart})^2 + u(\text{linearity})^2 + u(\text{bal cal})^2} \]

where \( u \) is the standard uncertainty and \( u_c \) is combined standard uncertainty. The combined standard uncertainty is:

\[ u_c = \sqrt{(0.0110)^2 + (0.0116)^2 + (0.00655)^2} = 0.0172 \text{ g} \]

B.4 Calculation of expanded uncertainty

The expanded uncertainty per weighing event (\( U \)) is expressed mathematically as:

\[ U = k \times u_c \]

Using a coverage factor (\( k \)) = 2 (confidence level of approximately 95%):

\[ U = 2 \times 0.0172 \text{ g} = 0.0344 \text{ g} \]

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

\[ U_{\text{final}} = U \times \text{number of weighing events} \]

\[ U_{\text{final}} = 0.0344 \text{ g} \times 2 = 0.0688 \text{ g} \]

Using a coverage factor (\( k \)) = 3 (confidence level of approximately 99%):

\[ U = 3 \times 0.0172 \text{ g} = 0.0516 \text{ g} \]

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

\[ U_{\text{final}} = U \times \text{number of weighing events} \]

\[ U_{\text{final}} = 0.0516 \text{ g} \times 2 = 0.1032 \text{ g} \]
B.5 Results

B.5.1 Net Weight: 30.03 g ± 0.07 g (k=2)

B.5.2 Net Weight: 30.03 g ± 0.10 g (k=3)

C Example 3

Scenario: In this example, the laboratory must determine the net weight of a white powder, received in 15 similar plastic bags, which appear to weigh approximately 30 g each. All other conditions are the same as Example 2.

The total net weight obtained for the powder, determined by individually placing the material from each plastic bag inside 15 separate tared weighing vessels, is 458.37 grams.

C.1 Factors contributing to weight measurement uncertainty

As the control chart is well established, it is expected to capture all of the factors described in Example 1, except linearity and balance calibration uncertainty.

C.2 Uncertainty budget table: Same as Example 2.

C.3 Calculation of combined standard uncertainty: Same as Example 2.

C.4 Calculation of expanded uncertainty

The expanded uncertainty per weighing event \( (U) \) is expressed mathematically as:

\[
U = k\times u_c
\]

Using a coverage factor \( (k) = 2 \) (confidence level of approximately 95%):

\[
U = 2\times0.0172 \text{ g} = 0.0344 \text{ g}
\]

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

\[
U_{\text{final}} = U\times \text{number of weighing events}
\]

\[
U_{\text{final}} = U\times(15\times2) = U\times30
\]
\[ U_{\text{final}} = 0.0344 \text{ g} \times 30 = 1.032 \text{ g} \]

Using a coverage factor \((k) = 3\) (confidence level of approximately 99%):

\[ U = 3 \times 0.0172 \text{ g} = 0.0516 \text{ g} \]

Considering all weighing events as correlated, the final expanded uncertainty for the net weight is expressed mathematically as:

\[ U_{\text{final}} = U \times \text{number of weighing events} \]

\[ U_{\text{final}} = 0.0516 \text{ g} \times 30 = 1.548 \text{ g} \]

### C.5 Results

#### C.5.1 Net Weight: 458.37 g ± 1.03 g \((k=2)\)

#### C.5.2 Net Weight: 458.37 g ± 1.55 g \((k=3)\)
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